

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/462,408	SCHMIDT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BJ Forman	1634

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) BJ Forman. (3) _____.

(2) Deborah Yellin. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 May 2005 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

28

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Ms. Yellin to discuss the scope of claim 28 and allowability of all other pending claims. The examiner stated that the novel feature of the allowable claims is the combination of capillary electrophoresis and collision cleavage. Claim 28 is not limited to this novel feature and therefore is not free of the prior art. Ms. Yellin contacted Applicant and agreed to cancel Claim 28 allowing the remaining claims to issue.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER